UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X Docket#

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 17-mj-00358-PK-1

:

- versus - : U.S. Courthouse

: Brooklyn, New York

RUSLAN MIRVIS,

Defendant : May 12, 2017

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR BAIL HEARING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHERYL L. POLLAK
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

A P P E A R A N C E S:

For the Government: Bridget M. Rohde, Esq.

Acting U.S. Attorney

BY: Drew Rolle, Esq.

Assistant U.S. Attorney 271 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York 11201

For the Defendant: Amanda L. David, Esq.

Federal Defenders

of New York

One Pierrepont Plaza

16th Floor

Brooklyn, NY 11201

<u>Transcription Service</u>: Transcriptions Plus II, Inc.

61 Beatrice Avenue

West Islip, New York 11795

laferrara44@gmail.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound-recording, transcript produced by transcription service

```
2
                            Proceedings
 1
              THE CLERK: So we have a Criminal Cause for
   Bail Application. It's 17-M-358, United States v. Ruslan
 2
 3
   Mirvis.
              Counsel, state your appearance, please.
 4
 5
              MR. ROLLE: Good morning, your Honor.
 6
              Drew Rolle for the United States.
 7
              THE COURT: Good morning.
 8
              MS. DAVID: Good morning, your Honor.
 9
              Amanda David on behalf of Mr. Mirvis who is
10
   present.
11
              THE COURT: Okay. Good morning.
12
              Mr. Mirvis, I take it you understand English.
13
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
14
              THE COURT: Okay. If at any point something is
15
    said that you don't understand, please tell me, okay?
16
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Okay.
17
              THE COURT: So we're here this morning for a
18
   bail application.
19
              MS. DAVID: Yes, your Honor.
20
              THE COURT: Okay.
21
              MS. DAVID: We would ask that the Court
22
   consider releasing Mr. Mirvis on bond with stringent
   conditions from pretrial. We would propose a $150,000
23
24
   bond which is a significant amount of money to four of
25
   his suretors. The proposed suretors are his mother, who
```

Proceedings

does collect Social Security, his brother, who is a paralegal at a law office, his aunt, who works as a home attendant, and a family friend, who works at a dentist office.

Mirvis, prior to being arrested, was living at home with his parents, helping to take care of them. Each of the folks that we're proposing make a modest income and I think that there are also people that Mr. Mirvis deeply cares about and who care about him and would be able to exercise moral suasion over Mr. Mirvis. He doesn't have any prior convictions. He -- there's no indicia that he would be a risk of flight.

The Court would be able to impose conditions of home confinement, as well as significant restrictions to his access to any electronic devices and the standard conditions in these types of cases and I can respond or say more if the Court has interest in hearing more about each of the proposed suretors.

THE COURT: Well, let me hear what the government's position is first and then we can talk.

MR. ROLLE: Your Honor, the government strenuously opposes release of this defendant. To start, defense counsel mentioned that there are conditions in these types of cases but I would submit to your Honor if

Proceedings

you read the complaint and I am happy to go through some of these things with your Honor, this is unlike almost any of the child exploitation cases that have come before this Court, your Honor.

Between February and April of this year, the defendant posed as a young boy and preyed on 13 child victims in 12 different states across the United States. And the way he did that and the end game for this defendant, as we tracked down and the FBI found out within two weeks of being notified by Facebook as to what was going on, was to convince young, vulnerable females to send and produce child pornography and send them to him. And in other instances, to convince them to sexually abuse their younger siblings.

To one of the victims, to accomplish that goal, the defendant deployed threats to these young, vulnerable women who oftentimes as it was flagged for the provider, were contemplating suicide, were hurting themselves and with this knowledge, he leveraged them into committing atrocities for themselves, for their families. In his words, "I'll be sending your family all the pictures for what -- for you lying." When they hesitated, he was quick to turn what he had gathered against them.

"If you leave, I am going to start cutting again," as one of the victims told him.

Proceedings

At that point, bent to his will, abused their younger sibling and the defendant continues calling them a liar, telling them, "Now I now you didn't want to rub your sister's belly," the sister being a prepubescent, small child. These victims are across the country.

These crimes were committed from a computer in the home.

They can be committed from anywhere.

So the suggestion that home confinement or any condition would ensure the safety of these victims of the community is difficult to understand how it could. This was committed in a way that was not just downloading horrible images for one's own prurient interest and criminal interest but to obtain them and produce them on a platform that's widely available from any computer or any device across the globe.

So we don't even -- while those assure your Honor that there are no conditions, we submit that this is a case in which the risk of flight is high. The defendant has been charged with a crime and will be indicted for a crime carrying a 15-year mandatory minimum. That alone is an incredible incentive for any defendant before your Honor to flee.

But more importantly, there is no way to ensure the safety of the community in this case, to ensure the safety and security of these victims, these young women,

Proceedings

and their families across the country who know what happened and are aware -- can proffer more facts before your Honor but with that being said, we would ask for the defendant to continue to be detained, awaiting his trial in this case.

THE COURT: Ms. David?

MS. DAVID: Yes, your Honor. The fact that Facebook alerted authorities to the allegations that are the subject of this complaint, I think are significant in that in many of these types of cases when an individual is downloading these illegal materials, the way that they are caught and brought before the Court, is typically because there's an undercover who is acting to try and solicit information from them, to be able to gather evidence.

If the Court were to release Mr. Mirvis, then pretrial would not only be able to restrict his use of any electronic devices, but there is monitoring software that can be put on any devices that remain in the home, although Mr. Mirvis and his family are completely willing to surrender and get rid of any electronic devices.

I would say that Mr. Mirvis has lived a life in which he has mostly been at home. He doesn't even have -- possess a driver's license. His risk -- him being a risk of flight is different in many cases because he does

Proceedings

primarily stay in his home.

If he were to violate any of the conditions of his release, the Court would know immediately because of the supervision of pretrial and because -- again, this is not a situation where Mr. Mirvis was collecting images for years, which is not atypical of many of these types of cases where the behavior has gone on for many years unchecked. This is a situation where the allegations are that between this period of time, Mr. Mirvis was allegedly communicating with these young women and Facebook immediately alerted authorities to those communications.

Obviously, these are still allegations and Mr. Mirvis is -- we're still learning more evidence and Mr. Mirvis is not admitting guilt to any of these but I do think that the fact that this was -- the nature of these allegations are different but not to the benefit the way that the government is positing.

The fact that it was behavior that was quickly brought to authority's attention actually, I think cuts in favor of releasing Mr. Mirvis because his behavior would be clearly monitored and these victims are not at risk, even if the Court believes the allegations or gives credit to the allegations in the complaint.

THE COURT: Anything else you want to add,

Proceedings

1 | counsel?

MR. ROLLE: Your Honor, briefly, if I may?

Facebook did alert law enforcement to what was happening.

The idea that that mechanism by existing ensure some degree of safety is concerning. In any case, the police do exist, informants do exist but that is not a substitute -- we don't offload the risk of the defendant's recidivism to the fact that someone may, in fact, find out that he's committing crimes.

The risk here as we're assessing here today is here. It is the defendant's burden in this case, as a presumption case, given the conduct underlying and the charge in the complaint, but your Honor again, we emphasize that this is a platform that is widely available. The way that this was conducted, he created, invented, wholly invented people. He didn't pose as Ruslan Mirvis to convince these women to do these things. He invented someone totally different. And importantly, he admitted it to the FBI when they confronted him, the day of the arrest.

So the evidence in this case is incredibly strong. It is incredibly troubling what has happened, your Honor and we would ask that he continue to remain in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I have considered

Proceedings

all of the arguments here. This is a presumption case.

I find that there are no conditions or combination of conditions that would ensure the safety of the community here.

I agree with the government. This is perhaps the most heinous series of allegations relating to child pornography that I have seen and I've been doing this now for quite a while. This is an instance where the defendant is alleged not only to have viewed already existing child pornography but he's alleged to have actually encouraged young children to create it, not only with themselves but with their young siblings and I understand the concept of Facebook catching this quickly, thank Heavens, but if the government is correct and there are actually 13 children that he's been involved with, that's pretty extensive within a one-month's period of time.

And I just don't find that the bail package that you've offered is sufficient to overcome these concerns. He is a risk of flight. I understand he doesn't have a driver's license. That doesn't keep people from fleeing. But most importantly, my feeling is he is a risk of danger to the community and I am going to continue him on detention. I think there was a permanent order issued earlier.

```
10
                               Proceedings
               MR. ROLLE: That's correct, your Honor.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: All right.
                     (Matter concluded)
 3
                           -000-
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

I, LINDA FERRARA, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the said proceedings is a true and accurate transcript from the electronic soundrecording of the proceedings reduced to typewriting in the above-entitled matter.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand this 8th day of January, 2018.

AAERT CET**D 656 Transcriptions Plus II, Inc.